Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/553,258	NAKATA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Julian D. Huffman	2853	

	Julian D. Huffman	2853			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 01 November 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3.7 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Reque for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3.7 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of detension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office late than the emonths after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec		ne issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>31-37 and 40-45</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>38</u> .					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu Please see the attached Response to Arguments.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
	/Julian D. Huffman/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2853			